

Risk Assessment Method for Local Authority Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control

Score Sheet

Name of authorised	H Wicks (Lindal) Ltd.
process/installation	
Name of person with	Ray Fisher
whom sheet discussed	
Sector Guidance Note	PG 1/12(13) – Combustion of waste wood
Local Authority Reference	PPC/B/34
Inspector's Name	Alison Coward & Geoff Dowker
Date	22nd March 2022

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 – Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Category 1	10	-	
(B) Category 2	20	20	
(C) Category 3	30	-	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading			
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has	5	-	
yet to be reached			
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline	10	-	
has passed			
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0	0	
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10	-	

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)					
	Sensitivity of Receptors				
Proximity to Emission Source (x) High (y) Med (z) Low					
(A) < 100m*	20	12	5		
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3		
(C) 250 - 500m* 5 3 1					
(D) >500m*	0	θ	Ð		
Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary					

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 – Other Targets			
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to	10	0	
which process is a potential contributor			
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0	

Total for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	20

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment			
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Score Awarded	
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no	0	0	
breach of any specific authorisation condition or of			
the general/residual BAT condition			
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	10 per incident	0	
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal	10 per incident	0	
action			
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement	15 per incident	0	
Notice or prosecution			
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0	
Total Score (Max 55)		0	
Where Facility has been on Reduced Charges due to M			
Reduced Operating Levels			
(F) Failure to notify the regulator of restart or increase	25	0	
in level of operation to above the threshold requiring			
a permit at the installation in accordance with			
acceptance letter			
Total (Applies only where condition F has been	(Max 80)	0	
breached)			
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by the inspector to be unreasonable			
or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.			

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
	Possible Scores			
Criterion	(x)	(y) No	(z)	Score
	Yes		N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree	θ	10	θ	10
required in the permit?				
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because	-5	θ	0	0
results over time show consistent compliance?				
(C) Activity operation modified where any	0	10	0	0
problems indicated by monitoring?				
(D) Fully documented and adhered to	θ	10	θ	0
maintenance programme, in line with permit?				
(E) Full documented records as required in	θ	5	θ	5
permit available on-site?				
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the	θ	10	θ	10
authority by date required?				
Total Score		(-5 to 45)		25

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
	Possible Scores			
Criterion	(x)	(y) No	(z)	Score
	Yes		N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for	0	5	0	0
implementing all aspects of the permit?				
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to	0	5	θ	0
individual staff for these procedures?				
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities	0	5	θ	0
checked and recorded by the company?				
(D) Documented training records for all staff	0	5	θ	0
with air pollution control responsibilities?				
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods	0	5	θ	0
where potentially air-polluting activities take				
place?				
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental	-5	θ	θ	-5
management system in place?				
Total Score		(-5 to 25)		-5

Total for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to	20
	105	

Overall Score for the Process	Range -10 to	40
	175	
Regulatory Effort Category	Low/Med/High	Med
High =>80, med = 40 – 80, low = <40		