

Risk Assessment Method for Local Authority Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control

Score Sheet

Name of authorised process/installation	Brian Armistead Ltd.
Name of person with whom sheet discussed	Luke Armistead & Brian Armistead
Sector Guidance Note	PG 1/12(13) – Combustion of waste wood
Local Authority Reference	PPC/B/33
Inspector's Name	Alison Coward
Date	14th July 2022

Environmental Impact Appraisal

Component 1 – Inherent Environmental Impact Potential			
APRR Risk Rating Category Possible Scores Score Awarded			
(A) Category 1	10	-	
(B) Category 2	20	20	
(C) Category 3	30	-	

Component 2 - Progress with Upgrading				
Status of Upgrading	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Upgrading not complete but PG Note deadline has	5	-		
yet to be reached				
(B) Upgrading not yet complete and PG Note deadline	10	-		
has passed				
(C) Upgrading complete and meets BAT Requirements	0	0		
(D) Emissions control exceeds BAT Requirements	-10	-		

Component 3 - Sensitivity and Proximity of Receptors (circle appropriate score)				
	Sensitivity of Receptors			
Proximity to Emission Source (x) High (y) Med (z) Low				
(A) < 100m*	20	12	5	
(B) 100 - 250m*	12	10	3	
(C) 250 - 500m*	5	3	1	
(D) >500m*	0	0	0	

^{*} All distances should be multiplied by a factor of 2 for mineral and cement & lime processes and by a factor of 4 for combustion, incineration (not cremation), iron & steel and non-ferrous metal processes.

Note: Distances should be measured from the process itself, rather than the site boundary.

Component 4 – Other Targets				
	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Other air pollution problems in the local area to	10	0		
which process is a potential contributor				
(B) No such air pollution problems	0	0		

Total for Environmental Impact Appraisal	Range 0 to 70	20
Total for Environmental impact Appraisal	Marige o to 70	20

Operator Performance Appraisal

Component 5 - Compliance Assessment				
Scale of Non-Compliance	Possible Scores	Score Awarded		
(A) Incident leading to justified complaint but no	0	0		
breach of any specific authorisation condition or of				
the general/residual BAT condition				
(B) Incident leading to a justified complaint*	10 per incident	0		
(C) Breach of authorisation not leading to formal	10 per incident	0		
action				
(D) Incident leading to formal caution, Enforcement	15 per incident	0		
Notice or prosecution				
(E) Incident leading to a Prohibition Notice	20 per incident	0		
Total Score	(Max 55)	0		
Where Facility has been on Reduced Charges due to M	othballing or			
Reduced Operating Levels				
(F) Failure to notify the regulator of restart or increase	25	0		
in level of operation to above the threshold requiring				
a permit at the installation in accordance with				
acceptance letter				
Total (Applies only where condition F has been	(Max 80)	0		
breached)				
* Unjustified complaints may be e.g. those considered by	y the inspector to I	oe unreasonable		

or which cannot be clearly linked to an incident at the process.

Scoring for Component 6 - Assessment of Monitoring, Maintenance and Records				
	Possible Scores			
Criterion	(x)	(y) No	(z)	Score
	Yes		N/A	Awarded
(A) All monitoring undertaken to the degree	0	10	0	10
required in the permit?				
(B) Monitoring requirements reduced because	-5	0	0	0
results over time show consistent compliance?				
(C) Activity operation modified where any	0	10	0	0
problems indicated by monitoring?				
(D) Fully documented and adhered to	0	10	0	10
maintenance programme, in line with permit?				
(E) Full documented records as required in	0	5	0	5
permit available on-site?				
(F) All relevant documents forwarded to the	0	10	0	10
authority by date required?				
Total Score		(-5 to 45)		35

Component 7 - Assessment of Management, Training and Responsibility				
	Possible Scores			
Criterion	(x)	(y) No	(z)	Score
	Yes		N/A	Awarded
(A) Documented procedures in place for	0	5	Ф	5
implementing all aspects of the permit?				
(B) Specific responsibilities assigned to	0	5	0	0
individual staff for these procedures?				
(C) Completion of individual responsibilities	0	5	Ф	5
checked and recorded by the company?				
(D) Documented training records for all staff	0	5	0	5
with air pollution control responsibilities?				
(E) Trained staff on site throughout periods	0	5	0	5
where potentially air-polluting activities take				
place?				
(F) Is an 'appropriate' environmental	-5	0	0	-5
management system in place?				
Total Score		(-5 to 25)		15

Total for Operator Performance Appraisal	Range -10 to	50
	105	

Overall Score for the Process	Range -10 to 175	70
Regulatory Effort Category High =>80, med = 40 - 80, low = <40	Low/Med/High	Med